

Scheme A - Flipchart Notes

Least intrusive in neighborhood

Like street view from Atwood

Most economical

Continue with prairie style - Important

Consider green roof on orangeries

View of orangeries very nice - gardens, people, etc.

Distance from entrance to far end parking - shuttle? Drop-off?

Long curve design of parking may be hard for snow removal

Front/entrance needs to be respectful of current architectural design

Fill more space at parking lot instead of event garden to keep more of this garden until further phases

A has more focus on the garden than C

Consider 'secondary' entry to gardens @ event garden; open as needed for events

Is space for additional spaces the same in each scheme? Regarding advance spaces, parking, etc.

Entryway that is accessible and covered for drop/pick up - easy access! Bus drop off/pick up

This scheme has less views of gardens

Fits well into current footprint

Plans for parking surface - 45% less important

Where are workspaces for education/adjacency to classrooms?

Seems like simple plans - if building build with more change

Do any plans address deck? (Off of meeting room)

Atrium/classroom still issue of scheduling 2 programs at one time - sound

Drawback - no natural light in commons/education hall - skylights, lengthen courtyard

Like S shape parking, move entrance to side like combo of 3 plans/schemes

Can have drive off N end of Sugar Ave to street? Secondary entrance instead of Atwood only

Like curved Sugar Ave instead of straight

Would like front with wall and greenhouses for people walking - no autos in front

Make street view more garden-like instead of tree line

Bike parking - need dedicated space

Scheme B - Flipchart Notes

Naming Opportunities - not as available

Auditorium missing

Every inch of building used

Where is indoor plant space? Is it secure?

Like the curve, but not the ravel of people from parking lot around to front.

2nd entry from Fair Oaks? Not all traffic off Atwood

Large glass area - what about sun/heat? (Overhangs - yes)

Parking - Plowing and handling snow removal?

What is city's vision on permeable parking?

Concession open greater hours?

Visible from Atwood?

Front of building looks like?

Greenhouses technology - aesthetics - What do they look like?

Move greenhouses? Need proximity for support.

Specific rental space? Where?

Like curve - southern exposure

Uses existing structures well

Walk from parking part of the experience/education

Use for middle space?

Back-of-house work area

Shielded from public

Atwood entry - Integrating new or existing façade (more like plan A)

Where is the café?

Bike parking? Where? Lockers?

Handicap parking - New reg. not crossing a street.

Is the curved greenhouse "pushing" the curve concept too far?

Design of garden to reflect curve of building?

Plan ahead when even this is too small?

What about Garver?

Curves are very harmonious

25-50 years from now will it be big enough? Parking enough?

Underground parking \$\$\$

Integrating east 9 acres into garden - show how

Possible 2nd special event entrance? For wedding parties.

Scheme C - Flipchart Notes

Some cross traffic of garden and public.

Use of "dead" space

New greenhouse

No parking lot

No entry

Not inviting to public

Drive through coffee from 7-9 am

Leave entrance by parking

Take more advantage of connection to lake

Need more rental space

No place for temporary storage of plants. No loading dock.

? Major courtyard to service greenhouses

? 1200SF pavilion - event garden - Screened?

? Green roof accessible - Yes

? Half of north end phase 2 - Yes

? Height of addition - 2 stories to look good

? Second floor for office space - Doesn't work with existing building

Greenhouses and head house have to connect to conservatory

? Reaction to straightening Sugar Ave

? How to outer conservatory - through service entrance

? Office space - Atrium, both levels

? Most expensive. Yes. Demolish head house and rebuild.

? Less parking - adds the least number

? Driveway entrance off side street

? Combine B & C - but have a curved B look

? Consider 2 entrances

C's entrance in middle

? Order of construction

Roof leaks

New toilets - up to code

9 month construction period

? Low wall at entrance be green

Lose interest in winter

mosaic, broken glass, mirror, store

Would lose the wood and stone of current entrance

? Need front drive

Entry to drive too steep. Cars bottom out

? Children's Gardens on green roof

? Fence/edge to protect kids

3'6" rail standard - stretch cable

? Existing plant sale - relocate

Other 2 hang together better

Match the existing flow/gardens better

Scheme C - Flipchart Notes

? More of a street presence

Lighting and neighbors reactions

Green roof in front of building - see lake

? Which scheme works best for weddings

? Working courtyards and qu(?) on set huts

? What's being destroyed - Almost nothing

SCHEME A

Rate your perception of the quality and experience associated with each of the following major programmatic areas.

Education	Frequency
Outstanding	3
Very good	9
Good	7
No opinion, neutral	2
Needs some improvement	1
Needs significant improvement	1
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Public & Visitor Experience	Frequency
Outstanding	10
Very good	8
Good	4
No opinion, neutral	1
Needs some improvement	0
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Growing the Gardens	Frequency
Outstanding	6
Very good	6
Good	9
No opinion, neutral	1
Needs some improvement	1
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Site Design	Frequency
Outstanding	5
Very good	12
Good	6
No opinion, neutral	1
Needs some improvement	0
Needs significant improvement	1
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

SCHEME B

Rate your perception of the quality and experience associated with each of the following major programmatic areas.

Education	Frequency
Outstanding	3
Very good	16
Good	2
No opinion, neutral	2
Needs some improvement	0
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Public & Visitor Experience	Frequency
Outstanding	10
Very good	10
Good	2
No opinion, neutral	1
Needs some improvement	1
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Growing the Gardens	Frequency
Outstanding	8
Very good	9
Good	2
No opinion, neutral	3
Needs some improvement	0
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	1

Site Design	Frequency
Outstanding	13
Very good	6
Good	3
No opinion, neutral	0
Needs some improvement	1
Needs significant improvement	1
Strongly dislike, disapprove	1

SCHEME C

Rate your perception of the quality and experience associated with each of the following major programmatic areas.

Education	Frequency
Outstanding	10
Very good	7
Good	2
No opinion, neutral	2
Needs some improvement	1
Needs significant improvement	0
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Public & Visitor Experience	Frequency
Outstanding	5
Very good	8
Good	4
No opinion, neutral	2
Needs some improvement	3
Needs significant improvement	1
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Growing the Gardens	Frequency
Outstanding	4
Very good	7
Good	5
No opinion, neutral	3
Needs some improvement	2
Needs significant improvement	1
Strongly dislike, disapprove	0

Site Design	Frequency
Outstanding	4
Very good	6
Good	3
No opinion, neutral	3
Needs some improvement	3
Needs significant improvement	4
Strongly dislike, disapprove	2

Scheme A - Comments

Brilliant idea, but classrooms need more direct connection to the gardens & conservatory.

Good for today.

Balance of parameters.

Ed classroom should be in main building.

Not sure where rental rooms are for weddings/meetings.

Why is more parking needed in Schemes A & B, than C.

Requires re-location of utility sheds.

Future expansion possibilities.

Trees and rain gardens in parking good attention to impact of run-off minimally expands building & parking footprint

Opportunities for green roof? Put garden in top of new entry.

Takes a lot of garden space. Omit 14 plant shows, omit 12 pavilion. Secondary entry/exit to parking lot.

If it's the entrance to the garden, how do you have a private rental in there?

This design maximizes current facilities which I like. Also integrates façade facing Atwood, which is excellent.

Minimal change to current building infrastructure.

Wasted space between conservatory and parking lot.

I like the utilization of present space.

Pretty but employee space and classroom space...not met.

Parking lot used for plant sales.

Lowest cost but least amount of additional room.

Cost conscious, a big plus.

Curved, planted parking is excellent.

How energy efficient would Orangeries be? Could be a great space!

Improves street view.

Seems least disruptive, cost effective, preserves front as is. Don't like the greenhouses in front.

Previous parking spaces -snow removal?

Large rectangular glass lobby 30ft wide along side of existing building does not move existing building - uses space and adds

reglaze greenhouses but do not move

Difficult to function during building phase, this one least intrusive.

I like the linear ideas of A or B and the new entry on C near the parking. Keep small pedestrian friendly entrance near current entrance.

Many rooms lack view of gardens and the outdoors.

The Orangeries?? Should be closer to giant circle(like it is designed) rather than back by the fence (scheme C)

Is there enough expansion room for everything off the lobby? Gift shop, library, etc.

Seems best value and ease of phasing for the construction.

Scheme works pretty well with satisfying little disruption to the existing. B is better.

Blends quite well with the existing garden. Would enhance the visitor experience.

A good idea to make the parking area more permeable.

Scheme B - Comments

Similar to A, but with improvements

Add multiple entry points

Why so many different parking counts between schemes? Use Atwood ave or street parking for overflow.

Liked the curve ideas carried out from garden to orangeries, greenhouse parking.

With large greenhouses moved to back difficult to enter for summer concert. What happens when they are torn down, what will staff do during this time?

Curving lobby orangeries, greenhouses and parking.

My favorite

Plan A has an integrated front of building as seen from Atwood, this would enhance this plan as well.

"Garden centric" Crescent

Keep the wedding garden!

Nice to separate bikes & cars.

Took up more of the current gardens space outdoors

Classroom space? Employee space??

Like the curve, but unsure of the entrance - will it feel unconnected/disjointed?

Like public café space

Love the curves!

Focus on gardens. Seems most harmonious of the 3 options, with generally good interior/exterior spaces.

The scheme had an inviting design

Curved features are a plus. Would love an open feeling. Outdoor patio facing Atwood would be nice.

Would lobby still include tall ceiling? Indoor trees possible? Views to garden?

Love the arc of the lobby

Cohesive greenhouse but garden is invasive. Inconvenient.

My favorite

Like the curves

Given everything, I would vote for this scheme.

Really liked the curve to the garden area, especially given the solar orientation. Probably highest cost.

Parking walk for this and A are similar and ok.

Blends in beautifully with the existing garden. Would enhance the visitor experience. A good idea to make the parking area more permeable.

Nice design, but A is more practical.

Very beautiful, curved buildings seem like they might be expensive.

make new entry one story with green roof.

Curved parking closer to buildings, less in park. 80 more cars.

Ed classroom should be in main building

Not sure where rentals (wedding/meeting) are.

Prefer to incorporate curved orangeries with existing building and greenhouses.

Scheme C - Comments

Please don't design and "sustainable" public gardens around cars at the expense of pedestrians and bus riders!

Restore front entrance on Atwood. Could be small secondary.

Too rectangular. Please add in curves from plan b

Combines park with Olbrich

Encourage picnicking in park during a visit to the gardens.

Don't like it at all

Don't see #14, plant show hall.

Sounds expensive.

Don't like the greenhouses as the main street view. Not always attractive.

Like the signage - incorporate exciting entry into other schemes

Like the garden building idea

Don't like this one. Keep current look from Olbrich

Moves entry to the west

This scheme is a major expansion

Include green roof on first story

Huge office space

Relocates greenhouse in front parking area

Interesting idea

No entry and no parking at Atwood, equals no drop-in visitors

Good to use the space along Supas(?) Ave

Entry off Atwood requires excellent street appeal.

Put a terrace on top of 10(greenhouse) along Atwood or walkway.

Does not use current space well

Presents an ugly straight building to parking area. Love curves.

Negative - Cost associated with main design change to gardens

I don't like the long building, it feels cold.

Classroom & Employee area could be better.

Like parking near main entrance

Love, love, love the proximity of the main entrance to the park lot and the potential view from Atwood.

Don't like wall across front of greenhouse

Straightening Sugar Ave turns it into a thru-way, encouraging speedy cars.

Too costly

Use the curvature of B to a greater degree.

More lighting for entrance.

Roof garden can replace children's garden.

Would like to still have an open feeling in lobby. Lots of glass, natural lights, views to the garden.

Really like idea of elevated views of garden. Liked lit greenhouse at night.

Like entrance from major parking lot, but you lose connection to lake?

Green roof garden - steps, elevator, ramp? Accessible.

Radical restructuring. Expense?

Dislike greenhouses as image of Olbrich. Like the wood and stone, but too cold/angular

Scheme C - Comments

Access from parking closer to entrance but art rear not inviting from street level.

Like taking parking away from Atwood, but a smaller wall with OBG and greenery. Small ped/bike entrance on that side.

Visitor access/visibility of conservatory. Education access to conservatory

Don't like moving main entrance off of Atwood

Interesting green roof as demonstration. Best orientation to parking. Will cost more than A. Solves some parking issues but A & B are better.

This scheme is somewhat off-putting. No visible entrance from Atwood odd and wrong.

The strengths of scheme, east entrance and green roofs can be accomplished with A and some redesign.

I like the greenhouses in front but it may not feel very welcoming.

Seems more disjointed than the other two plans. Doesn't blend as well with the existing garden. A good idea to make the parking area more permeable.

Tell us what you liked about today's meetings and what you found to be effective.

Well presented, nice format.

Well done! Clear slides.

The visuals and explanations were very good.

Thanks for the opportunity at this great venue.

Educational

Good explanation of different design concepts,

Concepts, the small discussions and ability to ask just us regarding the design/concepts.

Very informative and moved along well

Good schematics

You are doing a great job facilitating. Lots of care is going into how we perceive plans.

More time to visit all 3 tables. Overview of 3 plans on screen with explanations of why and how they were developed.

Individual tables were nice. Loved the sketches and renderings.

Very organized

All 3 presenters were assets and played well as an ensemble. Great to see schematics. 45 min at 3 tables worked nicely.

Detailed, informative, liked the diagram of A, B, and C and site plans. Liked discussion opportunities and how that was organized moving from one to the next.

Opportunities to ask questions and provide input to designs.

Good mini discussion

Liked the sketches, really helped visualize.

Breaking into the 3 groups for further discussion of each scheme was very useful for everyone to add their two cents.

Very nice, friendly, clear, relaxed.

Good, clear presentations. Adequate time for feedback.

Overview of these schemes at start. Opportunity to discuss that three schemes in small groups.

Opportunity for structured noting's and feedback on each of the schemes. Cookies and water/coffee was nice.

Tell us what elements of today's meeting didn't work for you; or, elements you thought were missing from the discussion.

I like more general discussion instead of all at separate tables.

The beginning was kind of repetitive.

Estimates cost may have been helpful to judge. But, understand why you did not as well.

Time - it was difficult to get here at 5pm. Projected expense, or maybe that doesn't come yet?

You need a new laser pointer

Need more details next meeting.

Should have had a Q&A discussion before we went to the table discussion

Lack of chance to ask questions in larger group.

Some info at beginning regarding your firm's internal process and your roles at work (I don't recall this from 1st meeting is you did explain it).

Model of structures difficult to imagine, especially design C. But probably and expense.

Buildings weren't labeled, couldn't read what each element was.

Red pointer needs new batteries, was hard to follow.

Couldn't see laser pointer.

The team is clearly talking to the city regarding parking on Atwood and other street changes and planning on uses at Garver, but acting in public as if these are peripheral, not relevant issues. Frustrating.

It's probably good to note the three schemes on their effect related to Olbrich functions but it's difficult to evaluate the designs related to these functions.

Please use this space to give us comments about the project or the Gardens overall.

I prefer Scheme A - Less demolition, keep view from Atwood, keep curved Sugar Ave., less impact on neighborhood, less expensive, and could put green roof on part of orangeries.

As a member of the CACW with a show here at Olbrich in October every year for the past many, we need more display space. We need more and better parking. Last year we had 2,400+ people in 7 hours and the year before over 3K. We've had many complaints about lack of display space and difficult parking.

More space for edibles in greenhouses would be nice.

Nice to have the opportunity to connect

Part of public experience is being able to celebrate birthdays, anniversaries, local business meetings, etc. In all options, rental space seems a very distant 2nd or 3rd option of space. Would assume city would expect us to to increase revenue.

I think the project has a lot of merit. And I believe the community would support it.

I think we have to decide where we want the entrance to the building before we can decide how to proceed.

We have to decide whether we want the buildings spread out or larger footprint on a smaller foot print.

Looking for more beauty, less stone walls, more glass, more welcoming, more burring of the lines between garden and building.

Is this enough parking for the future?

Can the main entrance be located closer to conservatory? More centralized? Closer to the main parking lot? Nice exciting ideas though.

I continue to be impressed by this public participation approach. Thank you!

A - Least disruptive, used current space, less expensive. B - Liked the curved spaces, more inviting. C - Major overhaul, seemed expensive, did not like the greenhouse idea view from street, I like the wood and stone entry now.

Needed but could have used more discussion about cost of each option and affect on neighbors living on Atwood Ave.

Excellent follow through from the initial session into the concept drawing phases.

Very supportive of this expansion.

Not "soup" yet. Team needs to explore multiple entrances because of nature of site.

Need to pro actively address on-street parking and Garver use with the city, to challenge staff, not meekly.

We love the gardens and so do out children and grandchildren, anything that can be done to enhance the visitor experience would be great.
